

2017 OR-505 BOS CoC Review and Ranking
Scoring Criteria
New/Reallocated Projects

Project: _____ **Score:** _____

Reviewer: _____

Summary of Factors	2017 Points
Threshold Requirements	
1. Project’s Work Consistent with Community Needs	20
2. Project Quality, Appropriateness, and Readiness	35
3. Agency/Collaborative Capacity	35
4. HMIS Participation	10
Total	100
5. Prioritization	Up to 5 Per Project

I. Threshold Requirements

<p>Threshold Criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> These factors are required, but not scored. If the project indicates “no” for any threshold criteria, it is ineligible for CoC funding. 	2017 Points
<p>HMIS Implementation: Projects are required to participate in HMIS, unless the project is a victim-service agency, serving survivors of domestic violence, or a legal services agency.</p>	N/A
<p>Coordinated Entry: Projects are required to participate in Coordinated Entry, when it is available for the project type.</p>	N/A
<p>Eligible Applicant: Applicants and sub-recipients (if any) are eligible to receive CoC funding, including: non-profit organizations, States, local governments, and instrumentalities of state and local governments.</p>	N/A
<p>Eligible New Project Type: If the project is a new project in 2017, it is either:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Permanent supportive housing, serving only chronically homeless individuals and families. Rapid rehousing, serving individuals, families, or unaccompanied youth who come directly from streets, shelters, or are fleeing domestic violence or otherwise meet the criteria of paragraph (4) of the definition of homelessness. 	N/A

Continued on next page

II. Detail

1. Project’s Work Consistent with Community Needs: 20 Points

Factors:

1A: Renewable Activities	2017 Points
Extent to which the project utilizes grant funds for renewable activities (e.g., leasing rental subsidies, and housing operations) as opposed to non-renewable funds (e.g., acquisition, construction, and rehabilitation).	10

1B: Unmet Need	2017 Points
Extent to which the project addresses an unmet need by utilizing CoC/regional priorities as determined by data analyses and local input. <i>Top 5 identified gaps/needs as determined by local community; CAAs use CSBG '17-'19 MGA.</i>	10

2. Project Quality, Appropriateness, Readiness: 35 Points

Consider overall design of the project in light of its outcome objectives and the CoC’s goal that permanent housing programs for homeless people result in stable housing and increased income (through benefits or employment).

2A: Population Served	2017 Points
Population to be served is well-defined and eligible and will prioritize serving project participants with the highest need.	5

2B: Program Design	2017 Points
<p>Program design includes provision of comprehensive/intensive case management and appropriate supportive services of the appropriate type, scale, and location to meet the needs of program participants (as well as transportation if necessary), using a Housing First model. Consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is the project staffed appropriately to provide the services? • Is the staff trained to meet the needs of the target population? • Does the program include involvement of clients in designing and operating the program? • Is the program design intentionally inclusive of and accessible to all eligible clients? • Are links to other services described? 	10

2C: Program Outcomes	2017 Points
<p>Program outcomes are realistic but sufficiently challenging given project scale. Outcomes are measurable and appropriate to the population being served. Minimal project outcomes should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Percentage of formerly homeless individuals who remain housed in PH at the end of the operating year or exited to another PH is at least 90%. • Percentage of leavers that increase employment income from entry to exit is at least 20% for PSH and 38% for RRH. • Percentage of leavers that increase non-employment income from entry to exit is at least 54%. • The percent of adult leavers and stayers in all CoC-funded projects that have non-cash mainstream benefits is at least 80% for PSH and 51% for RRH. 	5
2D: Housing	2017 Points
<p>Housing where participants will reside is fully described and appropriate to the program design proposed. Consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is the project staffed appropriately to operate the housing? • Is the staff trained to meet the needs of the population to be served? • Will the program be physically accessible to persons with disabilities? 	5
2E: Policies and Procedures	2017 Points
<p>Do the agencies (especially the lead agency)/does the agency have the expertise, staff, procedural, and administrative structure needed to meet all administrative requirements? Consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the agency have HEARTH-required policies/procedures in place? (Agencies to complete checklist as part of materials) 	5
2F: Project Readiness	2017 Points
<p>The project will be ready to start by HUD's statutory deadlines. Consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulatory obstacles such as tenant displacement or relocation, environmental or zoning issues anticipated. 	5

Continued on next page

3. Agency/Collaborative Capacity: 35 Points

3A: Past Performance	2017 Points
<p>Have the agencies/has the agency submitting this application demonstrated – through past performance – the ability to successfully carry out the proposed work and have they/has it successfully served homeless people as a group? Consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The experience of the agency in handling a similar project (e.g., if the project will involve relocation of tenants, what experience does the agency have with relocation?). • If the agency has other CoC programs, has the agency left CoC project grant funds unspent in the past 3 years? Consider if the program is running at capacity and if the program receives leasing or rental assistance funding. 	15
3B: Agency Experience	2017 Points
<p>Do the agencies (especially the lead agency)/does the agency have the expertise, staff, procedural, and administrative structure needed to meet all grant audit, administrative, and reporting requirements? Consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have they/has it successfully handled federal or other major grants of similar size without difficulty or problems in the past 5 years? • Any outstanding HUD findings or concerns and/or financial audit findings? • Has HUD instituted any sanctions on agency CoC grants, including– but not limited to – suspending disbursements (e.g., freezing LOCCS), requiring repayment of grant funds, or de-obligating grant funds due to performance issues? • To what extent has the program advised the Collaborative Applicant of outstanding HUD findings or concerns? 	10
3C: Alignment with CoC Priorities	2017 Points
<p>This will be scored on the overall application, but programs can submit an essay answer demonstrating their CoC alignment.</p> <p>Does the project and agency align and support CoC priorities including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Performance goals • CoC participation 	10

4. HMIS Participation: 10 Points

- Does the application indicate clearly that the agency intends to participate in HMIS in the event the project is funded?
- If the agency has other programs, do they demonstrate HMIS participation? Consider:
 - Percentage of null/missing, “don’t know,” or “refused” data
 - The percentage of clients with known income and benefits

5. Prioritization: 5 Bonus Points

Factors:

5A: Chronic Homelessness (CH)	2017 Scale	2017 Points
<i>Out of non-dedicated CH beds, how many are prioritized for CH?</i> <i>Reference: e-snaps project application Q4B</i>	100%	5
	91%	4
	82%	3
	73%	2
	64%	0